INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

Prosecution's	Witne	sses		Page
Pu-Yi, F	lenry	(resumed)		4313
Cross	" Mr	T. OKAMOTO FUJII Mattice	(continued)	4313 4314 4341

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

Pros.	Def.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
	283 284 285	Documents (in Chinese)		4340 4340 4340
286		Telegram from Foreign Min- ister SHIDEHARA to Counsu General KUWASHIMA at Tientsin dated 1 Nov 1931		4354
287		Telegram from KUWASHIMA, Con sul General at Tientsin t Foreign Minister SHIDEHAR dated 2 Nov 1931	0	4360
288		Telegram from the Japanese Consul at Shanghai MURAI to Foreign Minister SHIDE in Tokyo dated 2 Nov 1931	HARA	4362
289	1	Telegram from Japanese Consu General in Tientsin to th Foreign Minister in Tokyo	e	4364

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

	Def. No.	Description	Ident.	Evidence
290		Telegam from KUWASHIMA, Crasul General in Tientsin the Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA in Tokyo dated at Tientsin 3 Nov 1931		4367

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Pros.	Def. No.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
290		Telegram from KUWASHIMA, Consul General in Tientsin to the Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA in Tokyo dated		
		at Tientsin 3 Nov 1931		4367

3	
1	Tuesday, 27 August, 1946
2	
3	
4	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL
5	FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal
6	War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan
7	
8	The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment,
9	at 0930.
10	
11	
12	
13	Appearances:
14	For the Tribunal, same as before.
15	For the Prosecution Section, same as before.
16	For the Defense Section, same as before.
17	
18	
19	
20	(English to Japanese, Japanese to
21	English, English to Chinese, and Chinese to
22	English interpretation was made by the
23	Language Section, IMTFE.)
24	Danguage Decoton, Intribe,
25	

1 A 2 2 a 3 m 4 & 5 Y 6 1 d 8

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present except OKAWA, MATSUI and HIRANUMA, who are represented by their respective counsel. I have a further certificate, certifying to continued illness of HIRANUMA, which is under the hand of the Medical Officer of Sugamo Prison. The certificate will be recorded and filed.

Mr. OKAMOTO.

MR. T. OKAMOTO: In accordance with the desire of the President, I shall try to save time as much as possible and shall confine my cross-examination this morning to just one point.

THE PRESIDENT: Now, the real question here is whether the witness was really a puppet, and it is beside the question whether he was a willing or an unwilling puppet. The cross-examiner should keep that in mind. We are not trying the witness.

HENRY PU-UI, called as a witness on behalf 1 2 of the prosecution, resumed the stand and tes-3 tified as follows: CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued) 5 BY MR. T. OKAMOTO: 6 Have you ever asked the Japanese Government 7 to make you Emperor -- to assist you to become Emperor of China again and to reign in the Forbidden 9 City? 10 A No. 11 Q But did you not always believe that you 12 had a heavenly mission to regain the Forbidden City? 13 No. 14 Q It seems that today you are greatly dis-15 satisfied with the Japanese Government. Is that not 16 because Japan refused -- Japan did not assist you in 17 attaining this so-called heavenly mission? 18 This is all fabricated story. 19 You have stated that in order to deceive 20 the Japanese Government you made various false state-21 ments while you were Emperor, and that you even wrote 22 poems honoring Japan, but were not these honors also

because of your desire to regain the Forbidden City?

A I have already replied to you, no.

24

25

1	Mk. FUJII: I am FUJII, Goichiro, counsel
2	for the defendant HOSHINO, Naoki.
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued)
4	BY MR. FUJII:
5	Q Please tell us how, in what manner, laws and
6	ordinances were promulgated in Manchuria.
7	A I had already stated all this to the Court
8	in previous sessions. Do you want me to repeat all
9	this again?
10	Q Very well, then. I wish to ask you, please
11	tell us of any point of which you have not already
12	told this Court. Is it not necessary that the
13	original of laws and ordinances must have the Imperial
14	Seal on them in order to be promulgated?
15	A Naturally, of course.
16	Q Who has the responsibility for keeping this
17	Imperial Seal?
18	A It is being kept by the Archives Office,
19	the Director of the Archives Office.
20	MR. KEENAN: Mr. President, may I address
21	the Court for a moment? In the interest of proper
22	procedure in this Court, towards arriving at a final
23	determination, I respectfully make this suggestion:
24	that this witness has made it abundantly clear his
25	contention that what he did he did under compulsion.

Therefore, I suggest that the question as to where seals are kept, the minute details are not important. That is far remote from any contribution towards testing the credibility of the witness. I ask, therefore, respectfully, if it is in good order, that the counsel be instructed on this point.

THE PRESIDENT: We have already heard full cross-examination on the question of the existence, the custody, and the use of the witness' seals.

Nothing is to be gained by covering the same ground again.

MR. FUJII: The witness has testified that all ordinances and laws were promulgated in accordance with the desires of the Kwantung Army, but it is my belief: that when the procedure concerning the promulgation of these laws and ordinances is studied fully, the witness' credibility on this point -- we may gain some information concerning the witness' credibility on this point.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, that has nothing to do with the use of seals. You may cross-examine him on new matters.

Q Then, in giving Imperial sanction to these laws and ordinances did the Ministers of State make reports to you concerning the nature, the contents,

of these laws and ordinances before Imperial sanction
was given?
A Only a brief written report is submitted
before any law is formally promulgated is sub-
mitted to me.
Q On listening to these explanations
THE PRESIDENT: Wait. I am listening to
some important information from the Marshal, and
if I listen to him I can't listen to the witness.
Yes?
Q On listening to these explanations
given you did you ever ask questions concerning
points on which you were in doubt, or even express
a contrary opinion?
A What you said is not a fact. When the laws
and ordinances went through the State Minister is
already being fixed and can never be altered. Every-
thing is being handled by the Kwantung Army.
Q I have heard that on important political
matters it was the custom for Ministers of State to

s of State to give you explanations, and at the end of these explanations to ask your opinion as Emperor, and that it was your custom on these occasions to tell them that what you would say would be only for purposes of reference, and that Ministers of State should

1 fulfill their duty of assisting the Throne? THE PRESIDENT: Well, that is not a question; 3 that is a statement. Was what I have said true? A Ordinarily I wasn't even allowed to see all 6 these Ministers of State. I can only see these 7 Ministers of State according to the time schedule 8 made out by the Japanese, probably once or twice a year. You know when I have occasion of interviewing these Ministers of State, whatever I said to these Ministers were already foretold to me by YOSHIOKA. 12 THE MONITOR: Instead of foretold, told 13 in advance. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

Whalen & Duda

THE PRESIDENT: That question of yours seems to suggest that he was a limited monarch. But he insists that he was a puppet. That is the effect of what he says. As I said before, he has been giving that kind of answer throughout, and you will have to consider whether it is worthwhile cross-examining on those lines.

MR. FUJII: Thank you, sir.

Q Was not the State Council composed of the Prime Minister, ministers of the various departments, the Director of the Peace Preservation Board, and the Director of the General Affairs Board? And is it not true that all members other than the Director of the General Affairs Board were either Manchurians or Mongolians?

A Yes, but the vice-ministers of the various ministries were Japanese and these Japanese have organized the so-called Tuesday Meeting, and everything was being decided in the Tuesday Meeting.

THE INTERPRETER: The Peace Preservation Board should be corrected to the Hsing-an Board.

Q Are not matters coming before the State Council decided by a majority vote?

MR. KEENAN: Object.

THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld.

You have called the conference of viceministers by the name of Tuesday Conference, and have called them secret conferences. But have matters decided by the vice-ministers' conference ever been rejected by the State Council or by the Privy Council? It is an undeniable fact that everything was being handled and done by Japanese or Japanese Kwantung Army. Have matters decided, passed, by the viceministers' conference, which you say was directed by the Kwantung Army, ever been rejected by the State Council or by the Privy Council? Whether it be passed or rejected is all in the hands of the Japanese. The Japanese may reject whatever there was passed by the vice-ministers' meeting. And the Chinese has absolutely no voice whatsoever. Was not the first director of the General

Q Was not the first director of the General Affairs Board, KOMAI, Tokuzo; that is, was he not director of the General Affairs Board at the time the Japan-Manchukuo Protocol was signed?

A Yes.

Q Was not the next director of the General
Affairs Board, that is, the director at the time Manchukuo became an empire and at the time of your visit

1

3

5

7

8

9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	
1	to Japan, ENDO, Ryusaku? Was not the next director
2	NAGAOKA, Ryutaro, from 1935 to the beginning of 1936?
3	And was not the next director ODATE, Shigen, from
4	March 1936 to December of the same year?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Next, was not the defendant HOSHINO director
7	of the General Affairs Board of that country from
8	December 1936 to July 1940?
9	A Yes.
0	Q Then, do you know that when HOSHINO became
.1	director of the General Affairs Board, he appointed
2	a Manchurian as a vice-director and several Manchurian
3	as chiefs of the various bureaus and also of the
14	various sections?
15	A The fact that he has employed some Manchurian
16	to fill up these vacancies is a different matter.
17	Actually, the policy was already fixed by the Japanese
18	Q Was not Ku Tsu-hung vice-director of the
19	General Affairs Board at the time; and did he not
20	later become Minister of Communications of Manchuria?
21	A Yes.
22	Q You have said that the treatment accorded to
23	Japanese and Chinese ministers and vice-ministers was
24	very different and that it lacked impartiality. Could

you give a concrete example of this?

A I was then informed by the Chairman of the Privy Council and other ministers that for the Japanese employees they have some separate set of regulations governing the payments and treatments. And those treatments were much better than what they accorded to the Chinese employees.

Q I have received the following figures concerning the salaries of the various ministers:

Ministers received an annual salary of 20,000 yea.

cerning the salaries of the various ministers:

Ministers received an annual salary of 20,000 yen.

To that was added a social functions fund of 20,000 yen per annum, and 8,000 yen was given them as cash on hand. Vice-ministers received a salary of 12,000 yen per annum and a social functions fund of 3,000 yen per annum. Are those figures correct?

A As far as I learned from the reports I received, all the Japanese were paid some secret allowance which is not known to outside people. And the amount they received was always higher than what the Chinese employees received.

Q Did you merely hear that they received secret funds, or do you have some facts upon which to base this conclusion? If so, I should like to hear of them.

A I learned of this from the Prime Minister and the Chairman of the Privy Council. These people were then being in a position of responsibility. They

would not tell me lies, and they were in the position to know all this.

Q Then, let me ask you, who among the Manchurians working in the Manchurian Government did you hold most in trust?

THE PRESIDENT: The prosecution are not objecting to all these questions, which are of no assistance. The answers will not help us in the least. We will have to indicate ourselves that we are getting no assistance whatsoever from this cross-examination. The ultimate issue here is whether the witness was a puppet or a real sovereign, whether unlimited or limited; that is, limited by his own Manchurian people. None of the cross-examination is helping to solve that question.

The witness has taken up a certain stand that he was wholly under the direction of the Japanese. It is a simple stand. No amount of cross-examination is going to dislodge him from it. That is obvious.

If we terminate cross-examination it does not necessarily follow that it will be because we believe the witness. We may have open minds about that. It will be because we think further cross-examination is utterly useless. And we do not question the ability of the cross-examiners. That has nothing to do with it.

1 2

7 8

MR. FUJII: In the future I shall now conduct my cross-examination along the following line, that is, to show that what the witness has testified heretofore cannot be credited.

2	
G	
r	
е	
е	
n	
b	
е	
r	
g	
-	
&	
В	
a	
r	
t	
0	
n	
**	

Q When you assumed the post of Regent, did you not grant a public amnesty and grant either a complete amnesty or a reduction of penalties, and did not the people rejoice in your good statesmanship -- in your wise rulership?

A All these were done by the Japanese Kwantung Army.

Q When you became Emperor, did you not worship at the tomb of your ancestor -- at each tomb of your ancestors?

THE PRESIDENT: He has already answered.

JUDGE HSIANG: I object to this question
as being wholly irrelevant to any issue.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld.

Q Throughout, you have testified that, as Emperor, your activities were restricted completely by the Kwantung Army. Can you give us two or three examples of such oppression?

JUDGE HSIANG: The prosecution objects to this question as being repetitious. It has been answered time and again.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is overruled.

Answer the question.

A I think I have already testified to that effect, for all my actions and movements were being

restricted and limited. I didn't even have the freedom of speech in my own family.

Q You answer is very abstract. What I wanted you to give me was a concrete example of when, concerning what, and in what manner you were oppressed.

A I can't enumerate one by one all these stories to you. If you want me to relate all these, I will have to tell you the stories ever since the establishment of Manchukuo until the Japanese surrendered. As a matter of fact, all these oppressive measures the Japanese adopted in Manchukuo was almost publicly known to the people at large, and also including the Japanese, I think. For instance, those rights and privileges as prescribed by the Organic Laws of Manchukuo, I never enjoyed any of these rights or privileges. And those measures adopted by the Japanese, the Manchurians were not allowed to object to. Would you call this a government?

Q If you cannot give me a concrete example, stating the time and the incident itself, I shall not question you further. Then, let me ask you: First, of the three periods in your life, that is, first, the time when you were in Peking and in Tientsin; second, the time when you were in Manchukuo;

and third, from the end of the war up to the present: Of these three different periods, in which period 2 did you feel that you were most oppressed? 3 THE PRESIDENT: Just a second, please, 4 interpreters and others. When I am talking to any-5 body here, do not carry on the interpretation. Yes. 7 Naturally, at the time when I was in Man-8 churia. 9 Then, do you believe that at the present 10 period, that is, since the end of the war up to the 11 present time -- do you believe that the Chinese 12 people have great sympathy for you? 13 JUDGE HSIANG: Mr. President, this question 14 is clearly outside the scope of our trial here. So, 15 the prosecution objects to it. 16 THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld. 17 Do you read the American newspaper "Stars 18 and Stripes" at your billet where you are now? 19 JUDGE HSIANG: The prosecution objects to 20 this question on the same ground. 21 THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld. 22

MR. FUJII: I put this question to the witness

because he has testified that at present he is very

25

free.

23

PU-YI

W	
0 1 f	
f	
&	
S	
p	
a	
t	

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess now for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: How many defense counsel propose to cross-examine after Mr. FUJII has finished?

Mr. Mattice.

MR. MATTICE: I have an answer to the question. There will be one more, if it please your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

Mr. FUJII.

BY MR. FUJII (Continued):

Q You have stated that since the foundation of Manchukuo the people of Manchuria were exploited and their life became very miserable. Is that so?

THE PRESIDENT: Do those things have to be

THE PRESIDENT: Do those things have to be interpreted? Do not answer. You see, to observe the Charter we have to let it go into Japanese.

Mr. Chief Prosecutor.

MR. KEENAN: Mr. President, the prosecution objects to that question as being a repetitive one, and one that has been asked and answered before.

W		THE PRESIDENT: We will recess now for
0 1 f	1	fifteen minutes.
f	2	(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was
&	3	taken until 1100, after which the proceed-
S	4	ings were resumed as follows:)
pratt	5	MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International
t	6	
t	7	Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.
	8	THE PRESIDENT: How many defense counsel
	9	propose to cross-examine after Mr. FUJII has finished?
	10	Mr. Mattice.
	11	MR. MATTICE: I have an answer to the question.
	12	There will be one more, if it please your Honor.
	13	THE PRESIDENT: Yes.
	14	Mr. FUJII.
	15	BY MR. FUJII (Continued):
	16	Q You have stated that since the foundation
	.17	of Manchukuo the people of Manchuria were exploited
	13	and their life became very miserable. Is that so?
	19	THE PRESIDENT: Do those things have to be
	20	interpreted? Do not answer. You see, to observe the
	21	Charter we have to let it go into Japanese.
	22	Mr. Chief Prosecutor.
	23	MR. KEENAN: Mr. President, the prosecution
	24	
		objects to that question as being a repetitive one,
	25	and one that has been asked and answered before.

THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld.

Q Do you know that various laws concerning financial matters and savings were promulgated in Manchukuo?

THE PRESIDENT: The question is immaterial and need not be answered.

Q At the time Manchukuo was founded there was a great flood on the Sungari River, and on that occasion did you not, in your name, make a loan of ten million yen to the people for rehabilitation purposes?

A This was also done by the Japanese when they were trying to coax the Chinese.

Q Then, do you know that an agricultural loan company and an industrial loan company were established in Manchukuo in order to aid smaller farmers -- small-scale farmers, merchants, and industrialists, and that a loan totaling three hundred million yen was made?

THE PRESIDENT: The question is immaterial and need not be answered. I am taking this stand in accordance with the decision of my colleagues, as I understand it.

Q You have stated that since the foundation of Manchukuo that wholesale and retail dealers were only allowed to operate with permits. Have you not

made a mistake? I have a concrete example to the contrary.

THE PRESIDENT: The question is disallowed as immaterial.

Q Then, have you ever made any kind of contact with officials of the Chinese Government regarding what you have said has been your desire -- what you have said has been a long-standing desire of yours, namely, the recovery of the lost territories?

A Under the circumstances, where I was almost watched and guarded by the Japanese, it was impossible for me to do that.

Q You have stated that freedom of faith was not -- there was no freedom of faith in Manchukuo. But do you know that on September 2, 1934, the Holy See recognized Manchukuo as a separate religious district?

THE PRESIDENT: The question is immaterial and need not be answered. I think actually it is a waste of time to have to listen to these questions. We are not saving much time by following this particular method. What we should do -- say we have heard enough about the general issue -- allow particular accused the are affected to ask questions concerning their particular activities as testified to by the

·

witness. It is obvious to me that this counsel is going to ask us to sit here while he asks many questions which must necessarily be disallowed.

MR. FUJII: I shall be careful.

Q You have stated that you had personal guards.

Q You have stated that you had personal guards.
Were not these guards composed of Mongolians -- mainly of Mongolians?

MR. KEENAN: The prosecution objects to that question as being immaterial, having no test of the credibility of the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: I think the ebjection should be overruled. It might tend to indicate he was, after all, in the custody of his own people, and not in Japanese custody. You may ask that question. Of course, the Mongolians were not his own people, in the strictest sense -- the Chinese, but not the Manchurians. However, ask the question.

(Whereupon, the witness answered the last question as follows:)

A My personal guards were composed of Chinese as well as Mongolians. The number of Chinese is about equal to the number of Mongolians, but as far as I can recall I think the number of Chinese is greater than the number of Mongolians.

Q Where did the funds for the upkeep of these

4 5

O

guards come from?

A The funds were paid out of my own pocket.

The size of the guard was gradually expanded until it consists of three hundred persons. Later on, the Japanese took them off -- took them over.

Manchukuo was three million yen, and out of this, eight hundred thousand yen was earmarked for your own pocket money. Besides this, the Manchurian Government set aside five million yen in bonds as the Imperial family's funds, and the interest on these bends amounting to one hundred fifty thousand yen per annum was also -- also became your own personal pocket money. So that, in all, you had one million fifty thousand yen per annum for your own personal expenses; is that correct?

question really; it is a statement, or purports to be a statement of fact. It is objectionable for that reason, but the main objection to it is that it is wholly immaterial. The issue is whether this man is a puppet or not, and the method and extent of payment of him is beside the question.

Next I shall question you concerning the G 1 oldb opium problem on which you have testified. Do you 2 know that in the first year of Tatung, 1932, an 3 opium law was put into effect and by this law the r 4 smoking of opium was -- an attempt was made to stop 5 & opium-smoking in Manchuria? 6 Y Again this is one of the deeds of the e 1 d 7 8

Japanese. On the surface they were trying to suppress the opium, but on the other hand they were encouraging the growth of poppies. What they had actually done was very much different from what they said.

Was not opium first grown all over the provinces of Kirin and Jehol, and in order to control this, as a first step, the growing of opium was permitted only in the province of Jehol and was prohibited in all other provinces? As a second step, was not the growing of opium prohibited -- confined only to certain "shien" in Jehol?

LANGUAGE SECTION CHIEF: "Shien" meaning "counties."

The fact was not so. The fact was like this. At the beginning the growth of poppies were confined to Jehol and a part of Hsingan Province and then later it was extended even to the provinces of Fengtien, Kirin and a part of Szuping Province and,

22 24

21

e

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

naturally, the quantities were getting increased every day. Opium-smoking permits were sold officially by the Japanese.

Q What is the basis for your allegations that the area in which poppies were cultivated was expanded?

A The money -- the proceeds of the sale of opium were accredited to a special account with the Manchu Bank -- it was credited under the special account of the Manchurian Government, not "bank."

This is again one of the publicly-known facts by the Manchurian people as a whole.

Q Were not the proceeds turned over from the special account to the general account?

MR. KEENAN: Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, Mr. Chief Prosecutor.

MR. KEENAN: The prosecution objects to that question and calls respectfully to the mind of counsel that the President has already said in this cross-examination the general issue has been sufficiently referred to and has directed the attention of counsel to other matters, which apparently the procedure has not been observed, as I understand it, as outlined.

THE PRESIDENT: The position is this, Mr.

Chief Prosecutor. We decided that in the first place
I would disallow without any objections from the

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

prosecution any question which I thought was not proper -- any question which was immaterial, among other things. If that failed, then the next step which I was to take after consulting my colleagues again would be to shut down on the general issue and allow particular defendants to cross-examine so far as they were personally affected by the evidence. Now, I have to wait for further decision of my colleagues before I shut down on the general issue. Naturally, this Tribunal is most reluctant to interfere with cross-examination; but the Charter regards us to have regard to expedition in conducting this trial, and we will disregard the Charter if we allow time to be wasted by unnecessary, hopeless cross-The interest of justice to the accused examination. does not require us to waste time.

Yes, proceed with your cross-examination.
Well, repeat the question.

upon which to base extensive questions concerning the opium problem -- on which to put extensive questions concerning the concerning the opium problem to the accused but --

THE MONITOR: "To the witness."

MR. FUJII: (Continuing) But in order to save time, I shall confine myself to two or three questions.

16

18 19

20 21

22

23

Q As a result of the movement by the Manchurian Government to stop the smoking of opium, I shall give a concrete example: Confirmed opium-smokers, such as Tsang-Shihyi and Sung-Chichang, who were high officials of the Manchurian Government, as a result of this movement stopped completely the smoking of opium.

Is that not so?

MR. KEENAN: Mr. President, the prosecution objects to that question; and while I am addressing the Court and in the interest of time-saving only, may I request that all counsel be directed to confine their procedure in examination of witnesses to asking questions and not making speeches to the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: Almost daily since we have started to take evidence, I have stressed the fact that counsel are not at liberty to preface their questions with statements of fact; but I have had no success so far. Very few counsel observe that. As to this particular question, it is, of course, trivial, immaterial, and need not be answered.

Nas not the smoking of opium strictly prohibited, especially to students and to army personnel?

A The Manchurian Army were made the labor army -the engineers in the Japanese Army, and the Manchurian
students were made laborers in the Japanese Army; so

whether it is students or army people, they are not allowed to smoke just because the Japanese wants to save this manpower for their Army's sake.

Q You have already testified that opiumsmoking was -- that the smoking of opium was urged upon the Manchurians in order to weaken them physically and morally. Does not your present testimony conflict with that statement?

MR. KEENAN: The prosecution objects to that question and asks the direction to all counsel to refrain from arguing with any witnesses in this trial.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld.

That is purely a matter for comment later, if it will bear comment, and it does not seem capable of bearing comment.

Q Are you aware of the fact that while around the time of the founding of Manchukuo, confirmed opium-smokers numbered one million, three hundred thousand; in the nine years later this number had dwindled to five hundred thousand?

A As a matter of fact, the number of addicts was increasing year after year. The fact that they were selling the opium-smoking permits officially is a very good way to encourage opium-smoking.

Q Were not these permits granted only to

1	confirmed opium-smokers and not given to others?
2	A Whatever you say, the fact remains that the
3	scope of the areas in which the addicts opium
4	addicts were found is getting bigger and bigger
5	every day. The facts is always different from what
6	was alleged by the Japanese in writing.
7	THE MONITOR: "Was getting."
8	THE PRESIDENT: We will recess now until
9	half-past one.
10	(Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was
11	taken.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
South 1	

24

AFTERNOON SESSION M The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 0 r 2 1330. 3 MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International & 4 Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session. A r 6 HENRY PU-YI, called as a witness on behalf of the prosecution, resumed the stand and testi-8 fied as follows: 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued) 10 BY MR. FUJII: 11 Is the witness aware of the fact that before 12 the establishment of Manchukuo there was 350,000 13 bandits there; however, after nine years this number 14 went down as far as 1,300? 15 THE MONITOR: Slight correction: instead of 16 "before the establishment," "around the time of the 17 establishment of." 18 This can only go to mitigation THE PRESIDENT: 19 of punishment, if any punishment is imposed. 20 witness may answer. 21 The matter of banditry is purely a matter of 22 national concern, a domestic matter. The fact that 23 Japan has invaded Manchuria is totally different --24 a different matter from the matter of banditry. I

THE PRESIDENT: That is not an answer, Witness. You must answer the question if you can. THE WETNESS: About the number of bandits, I have never made any personal investigation. Therefore, I cannot tell. MR. FUJII: I am satisfied with the answer. Now, there is, on what I wish to tender here, the seal - a seal which I think is the seal of the witness the signature or the writing of the witness, himself. I wish the Tribunal's permission to show this to the witness and have it confirmed, whether it is his writ- ing or not. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was handed to the witness.) THE WITNESS: Naturally all these laws were made in my name and I wrote them. THE MONITOR: Instead of "wrote" it should be "I signed them."		don't know what is the number of Chinese that were
THE PRESIDENT: That is not an answer, Witness. You must answer the question if you can. THE WETNESS: About the number of bandits, I have never made any personal investigation. Therefore, I cannot tell. MR. FUJII: I am satisfied with the answer. Now, there is, on what I wish to tender here, the seal - a seal which I think is the seal of the witness the signature or the writing of the witness, himself. I wish the Tribunal's permission to show this to the witness and have it confirmed, whether it is his writ- ing or not. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was handed to the witness.) THE WITNESS: Naturally all these laws were made in my name and I wrote them. THE MONITOR: Instead of "wrote" it should be "I signed them." THE PRESIDENT: Well, did you sign that docu- ment you have just been shown? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you		
THE WETNESS: About the number of bandits, I have never made any personal investigation. Therefore, I cannot tell. MR. FUJII: I am satisfied with the answer. Now, there is, on what I wish to tender here, the seal - a seal which I think is the seal of the witness the signature or the writing of the witness, himself. I wish the Tribunal's permission to show this to the witness and have it confirmed, whether it is his writ- ing or not. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was handed to the witness.) THE WITNESS: Naturally all these laws were made in my name and I wrote them. THE MONITOR: Instead of "wrote" it should be "I signed them." THE PRESIDENT: Well, did you sign that docu- ment you have just been shown? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you	2	
THE WETNESS: About the number of bandits, I have never made any personal investigation. Therefore, I cannot tell. MR. FUJII: I am satisfied with the answer. Now, there is, on what I wish to tender here, the seal - a seal which I think is the seal of the witness the signature or the writing of the witness, himself. I wish the Tribunal's permission to show this to the witness and have it confirmed, whether it is his writ- ing or not. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was handed to the witness.) THE WITNESS: Naturally all these laws were made in my name and I wrote them. THE MONITOR: Instead of "wrote" it should be "I signed them." THE PRESIDENT: Well, did you sign that docu- ment you have just been shown? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you		
have never made any personal investigation. Therefore, I cannot tell. MR. FUJII: I am satisfied with the answer. Now, there is, on what I wish to tender here, the seal - a seal which I think is the seal of the witness the signature or the writing of the witness, himself. I wish the Tribunal's permission to show this to the witness and have it confirmed, whether it is his writ- ing or not. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was handed to the witness.) THE WITNESS: Naturally all these laws were made in my name and I wrote them. THE MONITOR: Instead of "wrote" it should be "I signed them." THE PRESIDENT: Well, did you sign that docu- ment you have just been shown? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you	4	
MR. FUJII: I am satisfied with the answer. Now, there is, on what I wish to tender here, the seal - a seal which I think is the seal of the witness the signature or the writing of the witness, himself. I wish the Tribunal's permission to show this to the witness and have it confirmed, whether it is his writing or not. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was handed to the witness.) THE WITNESS: Naturally all these laws were made in my name and I wrote them. THE MONITOR: Instead of "wrote" it should be "I signed them." THE PRESIDENT: Well, did you sign that document you have just been shown? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you	7.0	
MR. FUJII: I am satisfied with the answer. Now, there is, on what I wish to tender here, the seal - a seal which I think is the seal of the witness the signature or the writing of the witness, himself. I wish the Tribunal's permission to show this to the witness and have it confirmed, whether it is his writ- ing or not. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was handed to the witness.) THE WITNESS: Naturally all these laws were made in my name and I wrote them. THE MONITOR: Instead of "wrote" it should be "I signed them." THE PRESIDENT: Well, did you sign that document you have just been shown? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you	6	have never made any personal investigation. Therefore,
Now, there is, on what I wish to tender here, the seal - a seal which I think is the seal of the witness the signature or the writing of the witness, himself. I wish the Tribunal's permission to show this to the witness and have it confirmed, whether it is his writ- ing or not. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was handed to the witness.) THE WITNESS: Naturally all these laws were made in my name and I wrote them. THE MONITOR: Instead of "wrote" it should be "I signed them." THE PRESIDENT: Well, did you sign that docu- ment you have just been shown? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you	7	I cannot tell.
a seal which I think is the seal of the witness the signature or the writing of the witness, himself. I wish the Tribunal's permission to show this to the witness and have it confirmed, whether it is his writ- ing or not. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was handed to the witness.) THE WITNESS: Naturally all these laws were made in my name and I wrote them. THE MONITOR: Instead of "wrote" it should be "I signed them." THE PRESIDENT: Well, did you sign that docu- ment you have just been shown? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you	8	MR. FUJII: I am satisfied with the answer.
a seal which I think is the seal of the witness the signature or the writing of the witness, himself. I wish the Tribunal's permission to show this to the witness and have it confirmed, whether it is his writ- ing or not. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was handed to the witness.) THE WITNESS: Naturally all these laws were made in my name and I wrote them. THE MONITOR: Instead of "wrote" it should be "I signed them." THE PRESIDENT: Well, did you sign that docu- ment you have just been shown? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you	9	Now, there is, on what I wish to tender here, the seal
wish the Tribunal's permission to show this to the witness and have it confirmed, whether it is his writ- ing or not. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was handed to the witness.) THE WITNESS: Naturally all these laws were made in my name and I wrote them. THE MONITOR: Instead of "wrote" it should be "I signed them." THE PRESIDENT: Well, did you sign that docu- ment you have just been shown? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you	10	a seal which I think is the seal of the witness the
witness and have it confirmed, whether it is his writ- ing or not. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was handed to the witness.) THE WITNESS: Naturally all these laws were made in my name and I wrote them. THE MONITOR: Instead of "wrote" it should be "I signed them." THE PRESIDENT: Well, did you sign that docu- ment you have just been shown? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you	11	signature or the writing of the witness, himself. I
(Whereupon, the document above referred to was handed to the witness.) THE WITNESS: Naturally all these laws were made in my name and I wrote them. THE MONITOR: Instead of "wrote" it should be "I signed them." THE PRESIDENT: Well, did you sign that document you have just been shown? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you	12	wish the Tribunal's permission to show this to the
(Whereupon, the document above referred to was handed to the witness.) THE WITNESS: Naturally all these laws were made in my name and I wrote them. THE MONITOR: Instead of "wrote" it should be "I signed them." THE PRESIDENT: Well, did you sign that document you have just been shown? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you	13	witness and have it confirmed, whether it is his writ-
to was handed to the witness.) THE WITNESS: Naturally all these laws were made in my name and I wrote them. THE MONITOR: Instead of "wrote" it should be "I signed them." THE PRESIDENT: Well, did you sign that docu- ment you have just been shown? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you	14	ing or not.
THE WITNESS: Naturally all these laws were made in my name and I wrote them. THE MONITOR: Instead of "wrote" it should be "I signed them." THE PRESIDENT: Well, did you sign that docu- ment you have just been shown? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you	15	(Whereupon, the document above referred
made in my name and I wrote them. THE MONITOR: Instead of "wrote" it should be "I signed them." THE PRESIDENT: Well, did you sign that docu- ment you have just been shown? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you	16	to was handed to the witness.)
THE MONITOR: Instead of "wrote" it should be "I signed them." THE PRESIDENT: Well, did you sign that docu- ment you have just been shown? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you	17	THE WITNESS: Naturally all these laws were
THE MONITOR: Instead of "wrote" it should be "I signed them." THE PRESIDENT: Well, did you sign that docu- ment you have just been shown? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you	18	made in my name and I wrote them.
THE PRESIDENT: Well, did you sign that docu- ment you have just been shown? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you	19	
ment you have just been shown? THE WITNESS: Yes. THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you	20	be "I signed them."
THE WITNESS: Yes. THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you	21	THE PRESIDENT: Well, did you sign that docu-
THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you	22	ment you have just been shown?
	23	THE WITNESS: Yes.
want him to look at the seal also?	24	THE PRESIDENT: Well, tender it now. Do you
	25	want him to look at the seal also?

CLERK OF THE COURT: Do you wish all three 1 of these marked as one exhibit or separately? 2 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted. 3 MR. FUJII: I would like to have it accepted 4 separately, admitted separately. 5 CLERK OF THE COURT: The offerring of the 6 defense of three documents marked respectivly 283, 7 284, and 285. 8 (Whereupon, the documents above referred 9 to were marked defense exhibits 283, 284, and 10 285, and received in evidence.) 11 MR. FUJII: That ends my cross-examination. 12 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Mattice. 13 MR. MATTICE: On behalf of the defendant 14 ITAGAKI, if the President please. 15 THE PRESIDENT: I don't recollect whether 16 ITAGAKI's Japanese counsel has appeared or not to 17 cross-examine. 18 MR. MATTICE: No, if the President please, 19 Japanese counsel representing ITAGAKI has not cross-20 examined. 21 THE PRESIDENT: It is all right.

23

22

24

CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued) 1 BY MR. MATTICE: 2 Q Mr. Witness, when you journeyed from your 3 home in the Republic of China to Port Arthur, you were 4 accompanied, I understood you to say, by two of your 5 four adviser friends. Where were the other two on 6 that occasion? I don't know exactly where they were but I 8 guess that one of them was then in Port Arthur; the 9 10 other was in Tientsin. Q And it was Ino Chan-yu who was in Portarthur, 11 12 was 1t not? He has got a house in Port Arthur. His family 13 14 was then in Port Arthur. 15 Q Was he there? He was then sometime in Tientsin and sometime 16 17 in Port Arthur. Now, between the time when the so-called 18 Mukden Incident occurred, September 18, 1931, and the 19 time when you arrived in Port Arthur, tell this Tri-20 bunal whether you had had any conversation or had

executed any writing to or with any person concerning

THE PRESIDENT: He said this morning,

your desire or concerning the idea of what we have

heard referred to here as your restoration?

24 25

21

22

3

4

5

15

17

21

25

Mr. Mettice, that he did not tell the Chinese Government -- the Chinese National Government -- that he was seeking restoration, and he gave a reason which would exclude his telling anybody that. Do you want him to repeat himself in effect? MR. MATTICE: I wish to make certain, if the 6 President please. THE PRESIDENT: Well, I am assured, by at 8 least one of my colleagues, that he answered that 9 question you put to him some time back, but I don't 10 recall. 11 MR. MATTICE: There is some doubt about it 12 and I desire to make certain that during that period --13 THE PRESIDENT: Answer the question, "itness. 14 No. A Did you know a Japanese national and an 16 official of the Japanese Government in the year 1931 whose name was KAWASHIMA and who was Consul General 18 of Tientsin? 19 Yes. . A 20 Did you have any conversation with him --Q A No. 22 I hadn't finished my question, if the Presi-23 dent please. My question, Mr. Witness, is whether 24

you had any conversation with KAWASHIMA, the Consul

1

5

6

S

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

13 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

General of Japan at Tientsin, about November, 1931, concerning the matter of your restoration to the Throne of China?

A No. I don't recall that at all.

Or any such conversation with respect to your restoration to the Throne of that part of China known as Manchuria?

No, not at all.

I will ask you, Mr. Witness, if on or about the third of November, 1931, at Tientsin, in a conversation with KAWASHIMA, Consul General of the Japanese Government at that point, you did not, in a conversation with the Consul General in which the Consul General reported to you that the Emperor of Japan welcomed your restoration as Emperor of Manchuria, if in that conversation you didn't express yourdesire to know to what extent the Japanese Government intended to assist in that matter and also expressed your wish to know the details of the method by which it was proposed that you would make your escape from China?

This is a Japan fabricated story. There was no fact at all.

Then you didn't have such a conversation?

A No. General of Japan at Tientsin, about November, 1931, concerning the matter of your restoration to the Throne of China?

A No. I don't recall that at all.

Q Or any such conversation with respect to your restoration to the Throng of that part of China known as Manchuria?

A No. not at all.

Q I will ask you, Mr. Witness, if on or about the third of November, 1931, at Tientsin, in a conversation with KAWASHIMA, Consul General of the Japanese Government at that point, you did not, in a conversation with the Consul General in which the Consul General reported to you that the Emperor of Japan welcomed your restoration as Emperor of Manchuria, if in that conversation you didn't express your desire to know to what extent the Japanese Government intended to assist in that matter and also expressed your wish to know the details of the method by which it was proposed that you would make your escape from China?

This is a Japan fabricated story. There was no fact at all.

Q Then you didn't have such a conversation?

A No.

I will ask you, Mr. Witness, if on or shortly D 1 u prior to the 13th of November, 1931, at Tientsin you d 2 did not have a conversation with KAWASHIMA, Consul 3 & General of Japan stationed at that city, concerning 4 W the subject of your restoration to the Throne of h 5 a Manchuria, in which conversation you did not say to 6 7 the Japanese Consul General whom I have named that you thought the time was not yet ripe for such restora-8 9 tion?

THE PRESIDENT: It is difficult to class that as a statement inconsistent with his present testimony. It is possible, however, that an implication may be drawn from it, and you may ask the question. He may answer the question.

MR. MATTICE: Very well.

A I think I had already replied to you. I said there was no such thing at all.

MR. MATTICE: Just one more question on that subject, if the President please.

Q Did you have such conversation with any Japanese official at the time I have mentioned concerning the matter about which I have inquired?

A No.

Q Now, how many interviews or conversations did you have with ITAGAKI?

10

12

14

16

15

17 13

19 20

21

22

23

25

- 1	
1	A There was once in Port Arthur when he called
2	on me.
3	Q I understood you to say in your direct exam-
4	ination that you had had two. Was there another after
5	that time when he called on you?
6	A Yes, the next interview was after the first
7	interview when I refused his demands. The second inter
8	view was on the occasion when my advisers persuaded me
9	to accede to his demands and see him once more. I
0	considered that as a series of events.
1	Q Was there a third time when you and ITAGAKI
2	met and conversed about these matters?
3	A There were only two interviews I had with
4	ITAGAKI at Port Arthur. But after we got into Chang-
5	chun I saw him frequently.
6	Q I will ask you, Mr. Witness, if it isn't a
17	fact that the first interview which you had with
18	ITAGAKI at Port Arthur was in the last part of January
19	1931, and that if you didn't have a second meeting
20	with him there on February 22, 1932, and if you then
21	didn't have a third meeting with him at Port Arthur
22	on February 29, 1932, on which occasion you had sent
23	word to him asking him to come down there and see you?

That first date, if the President please,

should be '32. January 1932, that should be.

	A No, I don't quite agree with you. The first
L	important meeting was at the first important inter-
2	view we were talking about the subject matter. It
3	was during that meeting that we were asked to accede
5	to all his demands. And there was one more meeting
6	after that. I cannot remember the rest. As far as
7	I remember I saw him twice on the same subject matter.
8	Q Is there a place in Manchuria known as
9	Tokoshi Hot Springs?
0	A Yes. Before I got to Port Arthur we were
1	staying at Tang Kang-tzu Hot Springs for about a week.
2	Q Did you see ITAGAKI there on that occasion?
3	A Yes no. The answer is no.
4	Q I ask you then, Mr. Witness, if you didn't
5	have a fourth meeting with ITAGAKI on or about
6	March 6, 1932, at Tokoshi Hot Springs?
7	A I have already replied to you what I remember.
8	And it was that important interview I have already
9	testified to. With the lapse of ten more years I
20	cannot remember all these dates; but, of course, I do
21	remember that important event, when I got the worst
22	excitement.
23	Q Now, at this same time, Mr. Witness, what is

the fact about others, and I have reference to Man-

churian nationals, coming to see you in respect to the

25

same matters while you were at Port Arthur? 1 MR. KEENAN: Object. 2 THE PRESIDENT: Objection upheld. 3 Mr. Mattice, you must put -- I do not know 4 why that light goes up in the middle of the statement 5 I am making when it is my turn to speak. Mr. Mattice, 6 you must put questions as short and as clear as possible. Your questions this afternoon have been rather 8 lengthy and have given great difficulty to the inter-9 preters. 10 Did a committee of six Manchurians come to 11 12 see you one time? After I had seen ITAGAKI and when everything 13 was settled, the Peace Preservation Maintenance Coun-14 cil in Manchuria sent over some Chinese member to see 15 me. But that was at the instigation of the Japanese, 16 17 of course. I have reference, Mr. Witness, to the report 18 of the Lytton Committee made to the League of Nations, 19 on page 95 of that report. I will ask you if on 20 March 4, that same year, a second delegation compris-21 ing 29 delegates came to see you asking you to con-22 23 sent to be the ruler of Manchuria?

MR. KEENAN: Mr. President, the presecution

objects to this question, on the grounds that it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

touches no matter referred to by the witness on direct examination for the purpose of impeaching his credibility, and secondly, that it is not of consequence for others besides the Japanese to importune this witness to become Emperor. I do not believe it to be relevant. THE PRESIDENT: What is the point of your question, Mr. Mattice?

MR. MATTICE: I will not pursue that question, if the Tribunal please.

Now, Mr. Witness, I understood you to say in your direct examination that you desired a private interview or talk with Lord Lytton when he was there with his Commission, but that you had no opportunity for such, is that true?

THE PRESIDENT: I have repeatedly said, Mr. Mattice, that we do not want any witness to confirm his answers, or to change them; that is, by having the same question repeated.

MR. MATTICE: Very well, sir.

Had you had a private interview with Lord Lytton or members of his Commission on that occasion, would you have told him the truth about these matters?

THE PRESIDENT: The question is hypothetical and must be disallowed.

19 20

21

22

23

24

CROSS

	Q Did you know Wellington Koo, a prominent citi-
1	zen of the Republic of China at that time?
2	A I had never seen him.
3	Q I didn't hear the witness' answer.
4	A I had never seen him.
5	the beautiful to
6	Q Did you know who he was: MR. KEENAN: I object to the question, your
7	
8	Honor.
9	THE PRESIDENT: Objection allowed.
10	MR. MATTICE: Very well. That concludes the
11	cross-examination, if the Tribunal please, on the part
12	of the defense.
13	THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Chief Prosecutor.
14	MR. KEENAN: Mr. President, no redirect.
15	THE PRESIDENT: Well, do you want any special
16	directions about the witness?
17	He may leave Tokyo on the same terms as the
18	other witnesses in the same position.
19	(Whoreupon, the witness left the
20	witness box.)
21	THE PRESIDENT: Recall the witness.
22	(Whereupon, the witness resumed the
23	witness stand.)
24	THE PRESIDENT: Just keep him handy. Do not
25	put him in the box yet.

Those lights must go off because there is no air conditioning. (Whereupon, a discussion was had among Merbers of the Tribunal.) THE PRESIDENT: The witness will be retained until further orders. We will recess now for fifteen minutes. (Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: The witness is no longer required, and his release is already set on the same terms as the other witnesses who have come from abroad.

Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, before the adjournment the defense was about to make a request that this witness be held in Tokyo, and if the Tribunal has considered that as a body, we would like to be heard on that question, because we feel that, in view of the question of the authenticity of this witness's signature, it will probably be necessary for the defense to call handwriting experts, and that would involve technical testimony and require further exhibitions of this witness's handwriting, and for that reason we believe that he should be held here.

I believe it is quite apparent that a further examination of this witness by means of a commission to Siberia would be both difficult and unsatisfactory.

THE PRESIDENT: The Court has given consideration to the matters you have mentioned, and we see no reason whatever why this man should be kept in Tokyo.

He can be interrogated later, if necessary, that is,

by written request. Now, while he was in the box you could have asked him to have given you specimen signatures and specimens of his writing, but very wisely you did not. In the witness box a witness might disguise his handwriting. But you have the writing on the fan, which he admits is his, and you have the writing on the latest document tendered, which he admits is his. You require nothing further to ground an attack. If we understand Mr. Keenan, the Chief Prosecutor, rightly, he is going to suggest that the signature on exhibit 278 is a forgery. If that is so, the signature or the writing becomes something more than a mere collateral matter; otherwise, it is, as one of my colleagues rightly points out, only a collateral matter. That is all it is as regards the defense.

MR. LOGAN: I appreciate fully what your
Henor has said, but what I had in mind was this:
that ofttimes a handwriting expert, before giving his
opinion, will request that the witness exhibit
characters, words, or letters similar to those which
appear on a document which is being attacked, and
which may not appear on the document which the witness
admits is genuine.

THE PRESIDENT: You have or you could have.

17

1

2

3

1,

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

secured from the witness while he was in the box 1 all that is necessary for you to attack his credit; whereas, Mr. Chief Prosecutor has all that is necessary for him to attack exhibit 278, so far as

either attack is based on the witness's handwriting.

MR. KEENAN: Mr. President, since this witness was brought into court under somewhat unusual conditions, the prosecution has made arrangement and will be glad to tender him to any experts suggested by defense for any further specimens of handwriting made in the presence of such expert prior to Friday noontime of this week. I revise that, Mr. President, to Thursday night to meet conditions that have already been arranged.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

Is there any further evidence?

MR. LOGAN: That is acceptable to the defense, your Honer.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Sutton.

MR. SUTTON: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution desires to introduce at this time twenty documents, which carry the number, IPS number 1767, with separate serial numbers on each. They will be introduced separately, but have a similar certificate of authentication on each. Copies of these documents,

2

3

4

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

		both in English and in Japanese, together with the
	1	jertificate of authenticity attached, were served on
	2 3	defense counsel on yesterday. They are particular to
	4	that phase of the case immediately before the Court.
	5	THE PRESIDENT: Give us a short description
	6	of this document before I admit it, if I do admit it.
	7	MR. SUTTON: The first which we desire to
	8	offer is a telegram from Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA
	9	to Consul General KUWASHIMA at Tientsin, dated 1
	10	November 1931.
	11	THE PRESIDENT: Counsel General KUWASHIMA,
	12	is it not?
	13	MR. SUTTON: There is attached thereto a
	14	certificate of authenticity signed by the Chief ot
	15	the Archives Section of the Foreign Office, under seal.
	16	THE PRESIDENT: Well, what is the document?
4	17	MR. SUTTON: It is from the Chief of the
	18	Foreign Office of the Japanese Government at Tokyo.
	19	THE PRESIDENT: This concerns a movement to
	20	restore to the Throne Emperor Hsuen Tung.
	21	Admitted on the usual terms.
	22	CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution's document
	23	1767 will be marked Exhibit 286.
	24	(Whereupon, prosecution's exhibit
	25	No. 286 was received in evidence.)

MR. SUTTON: This document, for further 1 identification, bears a sub-pumber of 222. The sub-2 numbers on the documents all bear the prefix 41, and 3 then the title number on this one is 222. 4 THE PRESIDENT: Before a court admits a 5 document, it wants a description of it so it will know whether it ought to admit it or not. That is all I am asking for. I am not asking for all these 8 figures. 9 MR. SUTTON: The statement, if your Honor 10 please, was made at the request of defense counsel, 11 since they all carried identification number 1767. 12 THE PRESIDENT: All you need to tell us is 13 the prosecution number, who the telegram is from and 14 to whom it was sent, the date and telegram number, and 15 the nature of the document. If you go through all this 16 rigmarole every time we will waste days. 17 Yes. Well, read it. 18 19 MR. SUTTON: (Reading) "From: Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA 20 "To Consul General KUWASHIMA at TIENTSIN." 21 THE PRESIDENT: You have already gone through 22 23 that. MR. SUTTON: (Reading continued) 24

"Sent on 1 November 1931

"Concerning movement to restore to the
Throne Emperor Hsuen Tung.

"Telegram No. 81 (Code, Urgent. Top secret)

"Re: Your Telegram No. 453.

"l. As our negotiation with the Chinese side on the present incident does not seem to progress smoothly, it is desirable that we on our part should, for the time being, replete the workings of the organs in charge of the maintenance of public order and gradually control the inner regions in the same way. As to the security of our rights and interest, which heretofore have been infringed, we have no other means than to have the South Manchurian Railway Company and such others take up the matter as a practical problem of commercial transactions with the Chinese side. Moreover, I feel that with the progress of time, these autonomic organs would gradually develop and as a matter of course would all unite by amalgamation or by the election of a controller, or other such means. (Of course, if we can bring about our desired state of affairs through negotiation with the Chinese side, it would be far better.)

"2. However, to form an independent state in Manchuria at this time would immediately raise a

2

4

3

6

7

5

8

11

10

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

question as being contrary to Section 1, Article 1 of the Washington Nine Power Pact and would most certainly cause a great dispute among American and other signatory powers of the said Pact. (The Central Military also assents to this point.) And, although the emergence of Emperor Hsuen Tung is not immediately connected with the founding of an independent country, other powers might interpret the matter as though we are planning to create an Independent State of Manchuria. (Even if we make it in the form of a voluntary escape of the Emperor, the other Powers are not likely to believe this, and it is extremely difficult to keep incidents of this kind in secrecy.) In any case, the abduction of the Emperor at this time would bring us into the most unfavorable situation in face of the Session of the Board of Directors on 16 November, and world opinion will again be incensed, and our scheme to carry out gradually our actual construction work in that locality later with the pacification world opinion would be greatly handicapped.

"3. Moreover, taking into consideration the fact that almost the whole population of Manchuria of today consists of Chinese nationality, the restoration of Emperor Hsuen Tung would be unpopular in Manchuria proper. More so, the influence of such an event in

24 25

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

China proper as well as in other countries where this action would be taken as anti-revolutionary and as an anti-democratic stratagem is beyond our imagination.

Moreover, it would make it quite impossible for us to reach an understanding with China forever in the future. In any case we can only say that the Restoration to the throne of Emperor Hsuen Tung is a plan quite erroneous of time and I feel that in the future the above will bear great evil in the future management over Manchuria and Mongolia by our Empire.

"4. According to telegram No. 1016 addressed to me, Liu-Huan-Yeh at present is staying in Japan investigating the opinion of all quarters and it seems that he has met with strong opposition. Even the War Minister MINAMI is inclined to oppose the scheme and Lieutenant-General SAKANISHI, it seems, has explained to Liu-Huan-Yeh that his scheme is erroneous of time and has requested prudence of the Emperor.

"5. Such being the case, I ask you to keep the above well in mind and do your utmost to stop the abduction plan of Emperor Hsuen Lung on one hand, and on the other hand earnestly propose to the Emperor in a suitable way to be prudent, and I also ask you to be on guard.

"This telegram has been relayed to the

u

Goldberg & Yelden

THE PRESIDENT: Judge Hstang.

JUDGE HSIANG: If it please the Tribunal, the prosecution tenders in evidence further official documents exchanged between Japanese Government officials on the subject of Japanese abduction of the dethroned Emperor Hsuen Tung to head independent movement in Manchuria -- a movement the establishment of which Japanese were planning and engineering.

Now I will read a few telegrams.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document

number 1767-223 will be marked "Exhibit Number 287."

(Whereupon, prosecution's exhibit No.

287 was received in evidence.)

JUDGE HSIANG: Telegram from KUWASHIMA, Consul General at Tientsin to SHIDEHARA, Foreign Minister, sent P. M. 1 November 1931, Tientsin; received A. M. 2 November 1931. Telegram No. 457 (in code).

(Reading): "YAO CHEN told us confidentially to the effect that concerning the remedial measures for the Manchurian problem, the representatives of the people of Three Eastern Provinces, LIU EN-KO, PING KO-CHUANG, LIU CHENG-SHENG, HO KUO-CHUNG, CHAO CHEN and CHIN TING-HSUN arrived at Tientsin a few days before to have a secret conference with the AN-FU group.

"They agreed upon the bill as regards the autonomy of Three Eastern Provinces region which you will see in my telegram No. 458, and also upon the following items. They left on the 31st for Mukden via Dairen.

- "(1) First to send telegrams for attacking HSUZH-LIANG.
- "(2) To withdraw from the Nanking Government for the time being and try to restore the Five Races Republic Government which will be law-governed.
- "(3) To appoint an elder statesman for the head of the Central Government who has rendered great services to the country and enjoys explicit confidence at home and abroad, and put the Government under his charge. (This points to TUAN CHI-JUEI)
- "(4) During the transition period, to place the administration of the Northeastern districts in the hands of the organ as shown in the telegram No. 458.

"The representatives, to add for your information, appear to intend to carry out these plans with the consent of the Japanese Inspector-Generals, such as the Commander HONJO. (Please do not publish for the time being.)

"This telegram, along with the other, was transmitted to the Minister, Mukden and Peiping."

This is duly certified as is shown.

I propose to read another telegram.

THE PRESIDENT: Tender it first.

JUDGE HSIANG: The prosecution tenders in evidence telegram dated P. M. 2 November 1931, received P. M. 2 November 1931.

LANGUAGE SECTION CHIEF: Would you give us the number, please?

JUDGE HSIANG: 4-1-239.

THE PRESIDENT: This is from the Consul General at Shanghai to the Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA alleging the Japanese were stirring up agitation for the independence of the Three Eastern Provinces. You should be telling me this, Mr. Hsiang.

JUDGE HSIANG: This is a telegram from the Japanese Consul General at Shanghai Murai to Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA in Tokyo dated November 2.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, you tendered this. It is admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document
No. 1767-229 will be marked "Exhibit Number 288."

JUDGE HSIANG: With the Court's permission I

will read Document Exhibit 288.

THE PRESIDENT: Don't read that again. That has been read out. Just read the substance of it.

0

2

3

1

6

10

12

14

15

17

19

20

22

23

JUDGE HSIANG: (Reading)

published a telegram from Tientsin to the effect
that the Japanese were stirring up agitation for the
independence of the Three Eastern Provinces, that
Prince Kung had already given his complete acceptance,
but Emperor Hsuen Tung had refused, so that Col.

DOIHARA had to come to Tientsin secretly; that he
arrived at Tarchun from Darien on the 29th en route.
The newspaper said that he had been sent to Tientsin
on a small steam boat by the Japanese agents, that
he is now secretly planning to take various steps
to take Emperor Hsuen Tung to Mukden; but since
Emperor Hsuen Tung still refused, the Japanese were
threatening him.

"Forwarded to the minister, to Tientsin, to Peking, to Mukden, and to Nanking."

On the same subject the prosecution proposes to tender in evidence another telegram from the Japanese Consul-General in Tientsin to the Foreign Minister in Tokyo. Sub-heading of the document is 4-1-230.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document

No. 1767-230 will be marked exhibit No. 289.

(Whereupon, prosecution's exhibit No. 289 was received in evidence.)

JUDGE HSIANG: I will now read into the record documentexhibit 289: (Reading)

"According to your telegram instruction,
No. 81, we tried every means to persuade DOIHARA
but he was insisting on the following points:

- "(1) As the unity between CHIANG Sue-Liang's and CHIANG Kai-shek was very strong recently the chances of CHIANG Sue-Liang's downfall became next to impossible. The present Manchuria Regional Government except Mr. Hsi-Chih would conspire with the old government in deference to it, so there was absolutely no prospect of any natural development of events expected by our government.
- "(2) In order to pretend that Japan has nothing to do with the abduction of the Emperor, it would be needed to land him ashore at Yin-Kow, but if time was wasted, this port would be frozen.
- "(3) Taking advantage of the arrival at Tientsin of Ching-Liang from Mukden, we can propagate as if he had come, to receive here the Emperor.
- "(4) Here we can get chartered and use a Chinese ship.

2

3

1

4

6

7 8

9

10

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

"(5) The fact that the Chinese population in Manchuria is not talking much about this matter is due to their anxiety about the Emperor's resolution and their discretion toward Japan. So, if the Emperor is resolved to go to Manchuria at the risk of his life, and its method can be provided, it will be possible to make it appear like a Chinese movement, by enhancing Chinese public opinion and making public the statement to welcome the Emperor. this the staff of our Consulate advised him about the International situation and the relation with China proper. Moreover, I told him as my personal opinion that even if the Emperor's emergency from retirement could be propagated as owing to the desire on the part of the Chinese in Manchuria, it would be preferable to see the results of the session of the Board of Directors of the League, and in case the Chinese are really desirous and ample measures can be taken there would occur no difference about his landing place to be Yin-Low or Dairen. His (DOIHARA) arrival to Tientsin, I continued, being a well-known fact already, he had better give up the plan at least for the time being, but he did not accept my advice. On the contrary, he expressed his scheme to the effect that if it should be clari-

- Pil

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

fied by sounding the Emperor's mind that he had no intention to run the risk, he would leave with a parting remark that there would be no such opportunity in future for the Emperor, and dispatch a telegram to the military authorities at Mukden to the effect that he would consider an alternative as the present plan was hopeless of success.

"(Copies sent to Peking and Mukden)"

JUDGE HSIANG: Prosecution proposes to tender 1 in evidence document 1767, sub-heading 4-1-231. This 2 is a telegram from KUWASHIMA, Consul-General in Tien-3 tsin to the Foreign Minister SHIDEHARA in Tokyo, dated 5 at Tientsin November 3, 1931. 6 THE PRESIDENT: Is the subject matter the 7 same? JUDGE HSIANG: The subject matter is about 8 9 DOIHARA's work in having the dethroned Emperor Hsuen 10 Tung brought to Manchuria. 11 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 12 13 14

CLERK OF THE COURT: Prosecution document Number 1767-231 will be marked "Exhibit Number 290."

(Whereupon, prosecution's exhibit No.

290 was received in evidence.)

JUDGE HSIANG: With the Court's permission I will read Document Exhibit Number 290.

(Reading): DOIHARA told the staff of the Consulate that the Manchurian state of affairs was brought to the present condition solely by the activities of the military authorities there and that in case that the enthronement of the Emperor becomes indispensable in order to save the situation, it would be outrageous for the present government to take the attitude of preventing it. If it should prove true,

19 20

15

16

17

18

21

22

23 24

"the Kwantung Army might separate from the government; and who knews what action it might take? In Japan proper too besides the assassination plotters who are now under confinement, some graver accident may occur, he feared. The policy of the government is out of the question at this time. If the Emperor should decide to come out, he must be brought out even if it depends on political expediency as a means, he threateningly declared. As you know, he (DOIHARA) has close liaison with the Governor-General of Korea; and the fact that before this incident, when Chac Hsin Po in order to overwhelm the Mukden faction, asked DOIHARA to let him have confidential talks with some influential Japanese, he was immediately introduced to the Governor-General. (DOIHARA's direct talk on the 31st shows good evidence of it.) Again when Commander HONJO was requested by DOIHARA and others to get the understanding of the Consul-General, he simply gave them advice not to act in a hurry. (DOIHARA's talk on the 2nd.) And on the other hand he took such steps as seen in our telegram No. 449; these facts can not but be considered to explain that even the Commander has to take into consideration the influential background. Consequently it can be no more than a trifle

to advise them here to stop activities, and I suppose

.

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23 24

that it will be without avail. Further with regard
to the Emperor, I have repeated through his attendants
my advice to act with greater prudence. Kindly let
me knew your instructions as to the degree to which
I may speak to the Emperor about this matter.

"Dispatch relayed to the minister, to Peiping
and to Mukden."

THE PRESIDENT: Well, this is a convenient
break. We will adjourn now until half-past nine
tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1555, an adjournment was
token until Wednesday, 28 August, 1946, at
0930.)